



## International Journal of Management, IT & Engineering

(ISSN: 2249-0558)

### CONTENTS

| Sr. No.   | TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)  | Page No.       |
|-----------|---|----------------|
| <u>1</u>  | <b>Homogeneous Markov Processes On Discrete Time Queues To Continuous Time.</b><br>Dr. Mayank Pawar and Dr. Bhuvnender Chaudhary  | <u>1-13</u>    |
| <u>2</u>  | <b>An Enhancing Productivity And Performance Of The Employees By Exploring Employee Satisfaction: An Efficacious Tool For It Sector In India.</b><br>Archana Singh, Lokendra Vikram Singh and Dr. Peeyush Khare   | <u>14-30</u>   |
| <u>3</u>  | <b>Application Of Analytical Tools In Student Retention System.</b><br>Vibha Gupta, Yasmin Shaikh and Dr. Geeta Neema   | <u>31-43</u>   |
| <u>4</u>  | <b>V.I.E.T – A Case of Leadership Gone Wrong.</b><br>Rashmi Sharma  | <u>44-53</u>   |
| <u>5</u>  | <b>The Steady-State Solution Of Multiple Parallel Channels In Series And Non-Serial Servers With Balking &amp; Reneging Due To Long Queue And Some Urgent Message.</b><br>Meenu Gupta, Man Singh and Deepak Gupta   | <u>54-68</u>   |
| <u>6</u>  | <b>A Study On Personal Financial Planning For It Sector Investor In Pune.</b><br>Pravin Narayan Mahamuni, Santosh Kalabhau Apte and Dr. Anand Ganpatrao Jumle   | <u>69-89</u>   |
| <u>7</u>  | <b>Industrial Energy: what role for Policies?</b><br>Dr. M. Sugunatha Reddy and Dr. B. Rama Bhupal Reddy  | <u>90-118</u>  |
| <u>8</u>  | <b>Micro finance – Role of Banking intermediaries in Inclusive Economic Growth.</b><br>Suresha B and Dr. Gajendra Naidu   | <u>119-140</u> |
| <u>9</u>  | <b>A Comparative Study Of Public (Sbi &amp; Uti) &amp; Private (Hdfc &amp; Icici) Asset Management Companies Funds (Balanced, Gilt (Long Term &amp; Short Term) On The Basis Of Nav, Fund Average Return, Risk.</b><br>Shelly Singhal, Savi Chanana and Gaurav Kamboj | <u>141-164</u> |
| <u>10</u> | <b>Performance Issues of Individual and Team Game Planning.</b><br>Mamta Jangra   | <u>165-177</u> |
| <u>11</u> | <b>Measuring Quantitative Maintainability Of Conceptual Model For Re-Engineering Process.</b><br>Shabana Kausar, Mr. Ahmed Mateen and Mr. Ahsan Raza Sattar   | <u>178-192</u> |

## Chief Patron

**Dr. JOSE G. VARGAS-HERNANDEZ**

Member of the National System of Researchers, Mexico  
Research professor at University Center of Economic and Managerial Sciences,  
University of Guadalajara  
Director of Mass Media at Ayuntamiento de Cd. Guzman  
Ex. director of Centro de Capacitacion y Adiestramiento

## Patron

**Dr. Mohammad Reza Noruzi**

PhD: Public Administration, Public Sector Policy Making Management,  
Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran, Iran  
Faculty of Economics and Management, Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran, Iran  
Young Researchers' Club Member, Islamic Azad University, Bonab, Iran

## Chief Advisors

**Dr. NAGENDRA. S.**

Senior Asst. Professor,  
Department of MBA, Mangalore Institute of Technology and Engineering, Moodabidri

**Dr. SUNIL KUMAR MISHRA**

Associate Professor,  
Dronacharya College of Engineering, Gurgaon, INDIA

**Mr. GARRY TAN WEI HAN**

Lecturer and Chairperson (Centre for Business and Management),  
Department of Marketing, University Tunku Abdul Rahman, MALAYSIA

## Editorial Board

**Dr. CRAIG E. REESE**

Professor, School of Business, St. Thomas University, Miami Gardens

**Dr. S. N. TAKALIKAR**

Principal, St. Johns Institute of Engineering, PALGHAR (M.S.)

**Dr. RAMPRATAP SINGH**

Professor, Bangalore Institute of International Management, KARNATAKA

**Dr. P. MALYADRI**

Principal, Government Degree College, Osmania University, TANDUR

**Dr. Y. LOKESWARA CHOUDARY**

Asst. Professor Cum, SRM B-School, SRM University, CHENNAI

**Prof. Dr. TEKI SURAYYA**

Professor, Adikavi Nannaya University, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA

**Dr. T. DULABABU**

Principal, The Oxford College of Business Management, BANGALORE

**Dr. A. ARUL LAWRENCE SELVAKUMAR**

Professor, Adhiparasakthi Engineering College, MELMARAVATHUR, TN

**Dr. S. D. SURYAWANSHI**

Lecturer, College of Engineering Pune, SHIVAJINAGAR

**Dr. S. KALIYAMOORTHY**

Professor & Director, Alagappa Institute of Management, KARAIKUDI

**Prof S. R. BADRINARAYAN**

Sinhgad Institute for Management & Computer Applications, PUNE

**Mr. GURSEL ILIPINAR**

ESADE Business School, Department of Marketing, SPAIN

**Mr. ZEESHAN AHMED**

Software Research Eng, Department of Bioinformatics, GERMANY

**Mr. SANJAY ASATI**

Dept of ME, M. Patel Institute of Engg. & Tech., GONDIA(M.S.)

**Mr. G. Y. KUDALE**

N.M.D. College of Management and Research, GONDIA(M.S.)

# Editorial Advisory Board

**Dr. MANJIT DAS**

Assistant Professor, Deptt. of Economics, M.C.College, ASSAM

**Dr. ROLI PRADHAN**

Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, BHOPAL

**Dr. N. KAVITHA**

Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Mekelle University, ETHIOPIA

**Prof C. M. MARAN**

Assistant Professor (Senior), VIT Business School, TAMIL NADU

**Dr. RAJIV KHOSLA**

Associate Professor and Head, Chandigarh Business School, MOHALI

**Dr. S. K. SINGH**

Asst. Professor, R. D. Foundation Group of Institutions, MODINAGAR

**Dr. (Mrs.) MANISHA N. PALIWAL**

Associate Professor, Sinhgad Institute of Management, PUNE

**Dr. (Mrs.) ARCHANA ARJUN GHATULE**

Director, SPSPM, SKN Sinhgad Business School, MAHARASHTRA

**Dr. NEELAM RANI DHANDA**

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, kuk, HARYANA

**Dr. FARAH NAAZ GAURI**

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, AURANGABAD

**Prof. Dr. BADAR ALAM IQBAL**

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, UP

## Associate Editors

**Dr. SANJAY J. BHAYANI**

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, RAJKOT (INDIA)

**MOID UDDIN AHMAD**

Assistant Professor, Jaipuria Institute of Management, NOIDA

**Dr. SUNEEL ARORA**

Assistant Professor, G D Goenka World Institute, Lancaster University, NEW DELHI

**Mr. P. PRABHU**

Assistant Professor, Alagappa University, KARAIKUDI

**Mr. MANISH KUMAR**

Assistant Professor, DBIT, Deptt. Of MBA, DEHRADUN

**Mrs. BABITA VERMA**

Assistant Professor, Bhilai Institute Of Technology, DURG

**Ms. MONIKA BHATNAGAR**

Assistant Professor, Technocrat Institute of Technology, BHOPAL

**Ms. SUPRIYA RAHEJA**

Assistant Professor, CSE Department of ITM University, GURGAON

**Title**

**THE STEADY-STATE SOLUTION OF MULTIPLE PARALLEL  
CHANNELS IN SERIES AND NON-SERIAL SERVERS WITH  
BALKING & RENEGING DUE TO LONG QUEUE AND SOME  
URGENT MESSAGE**

**Author(s)**

**Meenu Gupta**

*Asstt. Prof.,*

*Dept. of Applied Sciences,*

*H. J. E. T., Kaithal-136027  
(Haryana)- India*

**Man Singh**

*Prof. (Retd.),*

*CCS, Haryana Agricultural*

*University,*

*Hisar - India*

**Deepak Gupta**

*Prof. & Head,*

*Dept. of Mathematics,*

*M. M. Engg. college,  
Mullana (Ambala)- India*

**Abstract:**

This paper considers the most appropriate & more general queuing model in respect of customers which are allowed to leave the system at any stage with or without getting service. The paper considers the steady-state behavior of the queuing processes when  $M$  service channels in series, are linked with  $N$  non-serial channels having balking & reneging phenomenon, wherein:

- Each of  $M$  service channels has identical multiple parallel channels.
- Poisson arrivals & exponential service times are followed.
- The service discipline follows SIRO rule (service in random order) instead of FIFO rule (first in first out).
- The customer becomes impatient in the queue after sometime and may leave the system without getting service and can renege due to urgent message.
- Waiting space is infinite.

**Keywords:** Poisson stream, Reneging, Balking, Traffic intensity, Steady-state, Parallel channels, Urgent message.

**Introduction:**

The problem of serial queues was studied by O' Brien (1954), Jackson (1954), Barrer (1955), Hunt(1955) and Maggu (1970) in steady-state with Poisson assumptions with the restriction that the customer must go through each service channel before leaving the system. Singh (1984) studied the problem of serial queues introducing the concept of reneging. The steady-state solutions of multiple parallel channels in series with impatient customers are obtained by Singh & Ahuja (1995). The solutions of serial and non-serial queuing processes with reneging and balking phenomenon have been studied by Vikram& Singh (1998). The steady-state solution of serial and non-serial queuing processes with reneging and balking due to long queue and some urgent message and feedback phenomenon is obtained by Singh, Punam & Ashok(2009). In our present society, the impatient customers generate the most appropriate and

modern models in the queuing theory. Incorporating this concept, we study the steady-state analysis of general queuing system in the sense that:

- M service channels in series are linked with N non serial channels having renegeing and balking phenomenon where each of M service channels has identical multiple parallel channels.
- The input process is Poisson and the service time distribution is exponential.
- The service discipline follows SIRO-rule(service in random order) instead of FIFO- rule (first in first out)
- The customer becomes impatient in queue after sometime and may leave the system without getting service and can renege due to urgent message also.
- The input process depends upon the queue size in non-serial channels.
- Waiting space is infinite.

### **Formulation of model:**

The system consists of  $Q_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ) service phases where each service phase  $Q_i$  has  $c_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ) identical parallel service facilities and  $Q_{1j}$  channels ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ) with respective servers  $S_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ) and  $S_{1j}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ). Customers demanding different types of service arrive from outside the system in Poisson distribution with parameters  $\lambda_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ) at  $Q_i$  service phase and  $\lambda_{1j}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ) at  $Q_{1j}$  service phase respectively. But the sight of long queue at  $Q_{1j}$ , may discourage the fresh customers from joining it and may decide not to enter the service channel  $Q_{1j}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ) then the Poisson input rate  $\lambda_{1j}$  would be  $\frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j + 1}$  where  $m_j$  is the

queue size of  $Q_{1j}$ . Further, the impatient customers joining any serial or non-serial service channel  $Q_{1j}$  may leave the queue without getting service after a wait of certain time. The service time distribution for the server  $S_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ) and  $S_{1j}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ) are mutually independent negative exponential distribution with  $\mu_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ) and  $\mu_{1j}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ) respectively. After the completion of service at  $Q_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ), the customers either leave the system with probability  $p_i$  or join the next phase with probability  $q_i$  such that  $p_i + q_i = 1$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M-1$ ). After



completion of service at  $Q_M$ , the customers either leave the system with probability  $p_M$  or join any of the  $Q_{1j}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ) with probability  $\frac{q_{Mj}}{m_j + 1}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ) such that  $p_M + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{q_{Mj}}{m_j + 1} = 1$ .

If the customers are more than  $c_i$  in the  $Q_i$  service phase, all the  $c_i$  servers will remain busy and each is putting out the service at mean rate  $\mu_i$  and thus the mean service rate at  $Q_i$  is  $c_i \mu_i$ , on the other hand if the number of customers is less than  $c_i$  in the  $Q_i$  service phase, only  $n_i$  out of the  $c_i$  servers will be busy and thus the mean service rate at  $Q_i$  is  $n_i \mu_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ). It is assumed that the service commences instantaneously when the customer arrives at an empty service channel.

### Formulation of equations:

Define  $P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots, m_N; t)$  as the probability that at time 't', there are  $n_i$  customers (which may renege or after being serviced by the  $Q_i$  phase either leave the system or join the next service phase) waiting in the  $Q_i$  service phase ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ),  $m_j$  customers (which may renege or after being serviced leave the system) waiting before the servers  $S_{1j}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ).

We define the operators  $T_i$  and  $T_{.i}$  to act upon the vector  $\tilde{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M)$  and  $T_j$  and  $T_{.j}$  to act upon the vector  $\tilde{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N)$  as follows:

$$T_i(\tilde{n}) = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_M)$$

$$T_{.i}(\tilde{n}) = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_i + 1, \dots, n_M)$$

$$T_j(\tilde{m}) = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_j - 1, \dots, m_N)$$

$$T_{.j}(\tilde{m}) = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_j + 1, \dots, m_N)$$

$$T_{.j,j+1}(\tilde{m}) = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_j + 1, m_{j+1} - 1, \dots, m_N)$$

The customers may leave any service channel without getting service if they receive urgent call while waiting.

$$\frac{dP(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}; t)}{dt} = - \left[ \begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^M \delta(n_i) \{ \mu_{in_i} + \delta_{n_i - c_i} (\alpha_i + r_{in_i}) \} \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(m_j) \{ (\mu_{1j}) + \beta_j + R_{jm_j} \} \end{aligned} \right] P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}; t) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}; t) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j} P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m}); t) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^M \delta_{n_i - c_i} (\alpha_i + r_{in_i}) P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}; t) + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} q_i \mu_{in_{i+1}} P(T_{.i+1}(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}; t) + \\ \sum_{i=1}^M p_i \mu_{in_{i+1}} P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}; t) + \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_{Mn_M+1} \frac{q_{Mj}}{m_j} P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M + 1, T_j(\tilde{m}); t) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^N (\mu_{1j} + \beta_j + R_{jm_{j+1}}) P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m}); t) \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

Following the procedure given by Kelly(1979), we write difference differential equations :

for  $n_i \geq 0$  ,  $m_j \geq 0$  ,  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, M)$  ,  $(j = 1, 2, \dots, N)$  .

Where

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{when } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\delta(n_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } n_i = 0 \\ 1 & \text{when } n_i \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{(n-c)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } n < c \\ 1 & \text{when } n \geq c \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{in_i} = \begin{cases} n_i \mu_i & \text{when } 1 \leq n_i < c_i \\ c_i \mu_i & \text{when } n_i \geq c_i \end{cases}$$

$$r_{in_i} = \frac{\mu_i e^{-\frac{\mu_i T_{0i}}{n_i}}}{(1 - e^{-\frac{\mu_i T_{0i}}{n_i}})} \quad ; i = 1, 2, \dots, M$$

$$R_{jm_j} = \frac{\mu_{1j} e^{-\frac{\mu_{1j} T_{0j}}{m_j}}}{1 - e^{-\frac{\mu_{1j} T_{0j}}{m_j}}}$$

Where  $r_{in_i}$  and  $R_{jm_j}$  are the average rates at which the customers renege after a wait of certain time  $T_{0i}$  and  $T_{0j}$  whenever there are  $n_i$  and  $m_j$  customers in the  $Q_i$  and  $Q_{1j}$  service phases respectively.  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_j$  are the mean renegeing rates at serial and non-serial service phases due to some urgent message and  $P(\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}; t) = 0$  if any of the arguments is negative

**Steady-State Equations:**

We write the steady-state equations of the queuing model by equating the time derivative to zero in the equation (1)

$$\left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^M \delta(n_i) \{ \mu_{in_i} + \delta_{n_i - c_i} (\alpha_i + r_{in_i}) \} + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(m_j) \{ (\mu_{1j}) + \beta_j + R_{jm_j} \} \right] P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j} P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m}))$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^M \delta_{n_i - c_i} (\alpha_i + r_{in_i}) P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} q_i \mu_{in_{i+1}} P(T_{i+1}(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m})$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^M p_i \mu_{in_{i+1}} P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_{Mn_M+1} \frac{q_{Mj}}{m_j} P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M + 1, T_j(\tilde{m}))$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^N (\mu_{1j} + \beta_j + R_{jm_{j+1}}) P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m})) \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

for  $n_i \geq 0$ ,  $m_j \geq 0$ ; ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ); ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) .

**Case I:- When  $n_i < c_i$**

For  $n_i < c_i$ , the resulting equations (2) reduce to as under:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^M \delta(n_i)(n_i \mu_i) + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(m_j) \{ (\mu_{1j}) + \beta_j + R_{jm_j} \} \right] P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i P(T_i(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m})) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j} P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m})) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} q_i \mu_i (n_i + 1) P(T_{i+1}(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m})) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^M p_i \mu_i (n_i + 1) P(T_i(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m})) + \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_M (n_M + 1) \frac{q_{Mj}}{m_j} P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M + 1, T_j(\tilde{m})) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^N (\mu_{1j} + \beta_j + R_{jm_{j+1}}) P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m})) \dots \dots \dots (3) \end{aligned}$$

The solutions of the steady state equations (3) can be verified to be:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}) = P(\tilde{0}, \tilde{0}) & \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n_1} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{\mu_1} \right)^{n_1} \right] \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n_2} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_2 + q_1 \alpha_1}{\mu_2} \right)^{n_2} \right] \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n_3} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_3 + q_2 \alpha_2}{\mu_3} \right)^{n_3} \right] \\ & \dots \dots \dots \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n_M} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_M + q_{M-1} \alpha_{M-1}}{\mu_M} \right)^{n_M} \right] \left[ \left( \frac{1}{m_1} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_{11} + \mu_M q_{M1} \rho_M}{\prod_{j=1}^{m_1} (\mu_{11} + \beta_1 + R_{1j})} \right)^{m_1} \right] \\ & \left[ \left( \frac{1}{m_2} \right) \left( \frac{(\lambda_{12} + \mu_M q_{M2} \rho_M)^{m_2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m_2} (\mu_{12} + \beta_2 + R_{2j})} \right) \right] \dots \dots \dots \left[ \left( \frac{1}{m_N} \right) \left( \frac{(\lambda_{1N} + \mu_M q_{MN} \rho_M)^{m_N}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m_N} (\mu_{1M} + \beta_M + R_{Mj})} \right) \right] \dots \dots (4) \end{aligned}$$

$n_i \geq 0, m_j \geq 0 ; (i = 1, 2, \dots, M) ; (j = 1, 2, \dots, N)$  .

where

$$\rho_M = \frac{\lambda_M + q_{M-1} \alpha'_{M-1}}{\mu_M}$$

$$\alpha'_1 = \lambda_1$$

$$\alpha'_k = \lambda_k + q_{k-1} \alpha'_{k-1} \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, M - 1$$

Case II:- When  $n_i \geq c_i$

For  $n_i \geq c_i$ , the resulting equations (2) will reduce to as under:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^M \delta(n_i)(c_i \mu_i + \alpha_i + r_{in_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(m_j) \{ (\mu_{1j}) + \beta_j + R_{jm_j} \} \right] P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j} P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m})) + \sum_{i=1}^M (p_i c_i \mu_i + \alpha_i + r_{in_{i+1}}) P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} q_i c_i \mu_i P(T_{i+1}(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_M c_M \frac{q_{Mj}}{m_j} P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M + 1, T_j(\tilde{m})) \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^N (\mu_{1j} + \beta_j + R_{jm_{j+1}}) P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m})) \dots \dots \dots (5) \end{aligned}$$

The solutions of the steady-state equations can be verified to be:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}) = P(\tilde{0}, \tilde{0}) & \left[ \frac{(\lambda_1)^{n_1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} (c_1 \mu_1 + \alpha_1 + r_{1i})} \right] \left[ \frac{\{ \lambda_2 (c_1 \mu_1 + \alpha_1 + r_{1n_1+1}) + c_1 q_1 \mu_1 \alpha_1 \}^{n_2}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_2} (c_2 \mu_2 + \alpha_2 + r_{2i})(c_1 \mu_1 + \alpha_1 + r_{1n_1+1})^{n_2}} \right] \\ & \left[ \frac{\{ \lambda_3 \prod_{i=1}^2 (c_i \mu_i + \alpha_i + r_{in_{i+1}}) + c_2 q_2 \mu_2 \alpha_2 \}^{n_3}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_3} (c_3 \mu_3 + \alpha_3 + r_{3i}) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^2 (c_i \mu_i + \alpha_i + r_{in_{i+1}}) \right]^{n_3}} \right] \dots \dots \dots \\ & \left[ \frac{\{ \lambda_M \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} (c_i \mu_i + \alpha_i + r_{in_{i+1}}) + c_{M-1} q_{M-1} \mu_{M-1} \alpha_{M-1} \}^{n_M}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_M} (c_M \mu_M + \alpha_M + r_{Mi}) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} (c_i \mu_i + \alpha_i + r_{in_{i+1}}) \right]^{n_M}} \right] \left[ \frac{(\lambda_{11} + \mu_M c_M \rho_M q_{M1})^{m_1}}{[m_1 \prod_{j=1}^{m_1} (\mu_{11} + \beta_1 + R_{1j})]} \right] \\ & \left[ \frac{(\lambda_{12} + \mu_M c_M \rho_M q_{M2})^{m_2}}{[m_2 \prod_{j=1}^{m_2} (\mu_{12} + \beta_2 + R_{2j})]} \right] \dots \dots \dots \left[ \frac{(\lambda_{1N} + \mu_M c_M \rho_M q_{MN})^{m_N}}{[m_N \prod_{j=1}^{m_N} (\mu_{1M} + \beta_M + R_{Mj})]} \right] \dots \dots \dots (6) \end{aligned}$$

Where 
$$\rho'_m = \frac{\lambda_M \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} (c_i \mu_i + \alpha_i + r_{in_i+1}) + c_{M-1} \mu_{M-1} q_{M-1} \alpha_{M-1}}{(c_M \mu_M + \alpha_M + r_{Mn_M+1}) \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} (c_i \mu_i + \alpha_i + r_{in_i+1})}$$

$$\alpha_1 = \lambda_1$$

$$\alpha_k = \lambda_k \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (c_i \mu_i + \alpha_i + r_{in_i+1}) + q_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1} u_{k-1} c_{k-1}; \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, M-1$$

We obtain  $P(\tilde{0}, \tilde{0})$  from (4) and (6) by the normalizing condition  $\sum_{\tilde{n}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\tilde{m}=0}^{\infty} P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}) = 1$  and with the restrictions that traffic intensity of each service channel of the system is less than unity Thus  $P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m})$  is completely determined.

### References:

- **Barrer D.Y.**(1955) A Waiting line problem characterized by impatient customers and indifferent clerk. **Journal of operations research . society of America .vol.3,360**
- **Hunt, G.C.** (1955): Sequential arrays of waiting lines **Opps. Res. Vol. 4, no. 6.**
- **Jackson, R.R. P** (1954): Queuing system with phase type service **Operat. Res. Quart. 5, 109-120.**
- **Kelly, F.P.** (1979): Reversibility and stochastic networks **Wiley, New York**
- **Maggu, P.L.**(1970): On certain types of queues in series. **Statistica Neerlandica. 24, 89-97**
- **O'Brien, .G.** (1954): The solution of some queuing problems **J.Soc. Ind. Appl. Math. Vol. 2, 132-142.**
- **Singh, M.** (1984); Steady-state behaviour of serial queuing processes with impatient customers. **Math. Operationsforsch, U. statist. Ser, statist. Vol. 15. No.2.289-298.**
- **Singh, Man and Ahuja, Asha** (1995): The steady state solution of multiple parallel channels

in series with impatient customers. **Intl. J. Mgmt. syst. Vol. 11, No. 2.**

- **Singh, Man , Punam and Ashok Kumar** (2009): Steady-state solutions of serial and non-serial queuing processes with renegeing and balking due to long queue and some urgent message and feedback .**International Journal of Essential Science Vol. 2, No.2.**
- **Vikram and Singh, Man** (1998): Steady state solution of serial and non – serial queuing processes with renegeing and balking phenomenon. recent advances in information theory , **statistics and computer applications ,CCS Haryana Agricultural University Publication , Hisar.227-236**

